Vaidya Solution in General Covariant Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity with the Minimum Coupling and without Projectability: Infrared Limit

O. Goldoni [1,4] *,† M.F.A. da Silva [1],‡ R. Chan [2],§ and G. Pinheiro [3]¶
[1] *Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, Maracanã 20550-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil.*
[2] *Coordenação de Astronomia e Astrofísica, Observatório Nacional, Rua General José Cristino, 77, São Cristóvão 20921-400, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.* [3] *Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Rio de Janeiro, Rua Senador Furtado, 121/125, Maracanã, 20270-021, Rio de Janeiro*
[4] *GCAP-CASPER, Physics Department, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798-7316, USA*
(Dated: December 17, 2014)

In this paper, we have studied nonstationary radiative spherically symmetric spacetime, in general covariant theory ($U(1)$ extension) of the Hořava-Lifshitz gravity with the minimum coupling, in the post-newtonian approximation (PPN), without the projectability condition and in the infrared limit. The Newtonian prepotential φ was assumed null. We have shown that there is not the analogue of the Vaidya's solution in the Hořava-Lifshitz Theory (HLT) with the minimum coupling, as we know in the General Relativity Theory (GRT).

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd; 98.80.-k; 98.80.Bp

arXiv:1412.5045v1 [gr-qc] 16 Dec 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Since its publication, the Hořava-Lifshitz gravity theory (HLT) [1] [2] has draw a lot of interest primarily due to its prospects to solve the perturbative non-renormalizability of quantized standard Einstein gravity at the expense of breaking relativistic invariance at very high energies, while restoring it at low energies. After that, further deep connections of the Hořava-Lifshitz formalism to the condensed matter physics were discovered within the framework of the gauge/gravity duality (holography).

Unfortunately, the original Hořava formulation was contaminated by a number of serious problems which have caused the development of various non-trivial modifications. One of the promising latter modifications is the one without the so called projectability condition and with an additional Abelian gauge symmetry, which in particular solves the problem with the unphysical scalar graviton. Due to the extensive works in this area, we suggest to the reader the references [3]-[36].

In order to establish the physical relevance of any modification of the Hořava-Lifshitz gravity it is very important to check that the latter reproduce the known physically feasible properties of the standard Einstein's General Relativity (EGR) at low energies. Within this condition, we have checked whether the non-projectable version of the Hořava-Lifshitz gravity with the extra U(1) gauge symmetry contains in the low energy limit solutions of Vaidya-type [39]. The answer was negative and, therefore, led us to the important conclusion that in order to establish consistency with the EGR at low energies the gauge field associated with the extra U(1) gauge symmetry of the enlarged non-projectable Hořava-Lifshitz gravity should have some interaction with the pure radiation matter generating the Vaidya spacetime geometry.

In a recent paper, Lin et al. (2014) [37], have proposed a universal coupling between the gravity and matter in the framework of the Hořava-Lifshitz theory of gravity with an extra U(1) symmetry for both the projectable and non-projectable cases. Then, using this universal coupling they have studied the PPN approximations and they have obtained the PPN parameters in terms of the coupling constants of the theory.

In this present work, using the results of Lin et al. (2014) [37] we have studied nonstationary radiative spherically symmetric spacetime, in the general covariant theory ($U(1)$ extension) of the Hořava-Lifshitz gravity with a minimum coupling [37], in the PPN approximation, without the projectability condition and in the infrared limit. We will analyze if the Vaidya's spacetime can be described as a null radiation fluid in the general covariant HLT of gravity without the projectability condition [23, 24]. In Section II we present a brief introduction to the HLT with the minimum coupling [37] considered here and we present the field equations of the HLT modified. In Section III we show the Vaidya's spacetime, expressed in ADM decomposition[38]. In Section IV we present the HLT equations in the PPN approximation, for the infrared limit. In Section V we analyze all the possible solution for the HLT field equations. In Section VI we discuss the results. Finally, in the Appendix A we present some quantities necessary in the HLT field equations with minimum coupling and without projectability

*On leave from [1]
†Electronic address: otaviosama@gmail.com
‡Electronic address: mfasnic@gmail.com
§Electronic address: chan@on.br
¶Electronic address: gpinheiro.fisica@gmail.com

[37].

II. GENERAL COVARIANT HOŘAVA-LIFSHITZ GRAVITY WITH MINIMUM COUPLING AND WITHOUT PROJECTABILITY

In this section, we shall give a very brief introduction to the general covariant HLT gravity with the minimum coupling and without the projectability condition. For detail, we refer readers to [23, 24, 37].

The Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) form is given by [38],

$$ds^2 = -N^2dt^2 + g_{ij}\left(dx^i + N^i dt\right)\left(dx^j + N^j dt\right), \quad (i,\ j = 1,2,3), \tag{1}$$

where the nonprojectability condition imposes that $N = N(t, x^i)$.

In the work of Lin et al. (2014) [37] it is proposed that, in the IR limit, it is possible to have matter fields universally couple to the ADM components through the transformations

$$\tilde{N} = FN, \quad \tilde{N}^i = N^i + Ng^{ij}\nabla_j\varphi, \quad \tilde{g}_{ij} = \Omega^2 g_{ij}, \tag{2}$$

with

$$F = 1 - a_1\sigma, \quad \Omega = 1 - a_2\sigma, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\sigma \equiv \frac{A - \mathcal{A}}{N}, \quad \mathcal{A} \equiv -\dot{\varphi} + N^i\nabla_i\varphi + \frac{1}{2}N\left(\nabla^i\varphi\right)\left(\nabla_i\varphi\right), \tag{4}$$

and where A and φ are the the gauge field and the Newtonian prepotential, respectively, and a_1 and a_2 are two arbitrary coupling constants. Note that by setting the first terms in F and Ω to unity, we have used the freedom to rescale the units of time and space. We also have

$$\tilde{N}_i = \Omega^2\left(N_i + N\nabla_i\varphi\right), \quad \tilde{g}^{ij} = \Omega^{-2}g^{ij}. \tag{5}$$

Considering the exposed before, the matter action can be written as

$$S_m = \int dtd^3x\tilde{N}\sqrt{\tilde{g}}\,\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m\left(\tilde{N}, \tilde{N}_i, \tilde{g}_{ij}; \psi_n\right), \tag{6}$$

where ψ_n collectively stands for matter fields. One can then define the matter stress-energy in the ADM decomposition, with the minimum coupling.

Thus, the total action of the theory can be written as,

$$S = \zeta^2\int dtd^3x\sqrt{g}N\Big(\mathcal{L}_K - \mathcal{L}_V + \mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_\varphi + \mathcal{L}_S + \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\mathcal{L}_M\Big), \tag{7}$$

where $g = \det(g_{ij})$, N is given in the equation (1) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_K &= K_{ij}K^{ij} - \lambda K^2,\\
\mathcal{L}_V &= \gamma_0\zeta^2 - \Big(\beta_0 a_i a^i - \gamma_1 R\Big) + \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\Big(\gamma_2 R^2 + \gamma_3 R_{ij}R^{ij}\Big)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\Big[\beta_1\left(a_i a^i\right)^2 + \beta_2\left(a^i{}_i\right)^2 + \beta_3\left(a_i a^i\right)a^j{}_j\\
&\quad + \beta_4 a^{ij}a_{ij} + \beta_5\left(a_i a^i\right)R + \beta_6 a_i a_j R^{ij} + \beta_7 R a^i{}_i\Big]\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\zeta^4}\Big[\gamma_5 C_{ij}C^{ij} + \beta_8\left(\Delta a^i\right)^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{L}_A &= \frac{A}{N}\Big(2\Lambda_g - R\Big),\\
\mathcal{L}_\varphi &= \varphi\mathcal{G}^{ij}\left(2K_{ij} + \nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi + a_i\nabla_j\varphi\right)\\
&\quad + (1-\lambda)\Big[\left(\Delta\varphi + a_i\nabla^i\varphi\right)^2 + 2\left(\Delta\varphi + a_i\nabla^i\varphi\right)K\Big]\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijlk}\Big[4\left(\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi\right)a_{(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi\\
&\quad + 5\left(a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi\right)a_{(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi + 2\left(\nabla_{(i}\varphi\right)a_{j)(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi\\
&\quad + 6K_{ij}a_{(l}\nabla_{k)}\varphi\Big],\\
\mathcal{L}_S &= \sigma a_S,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$a_S = \sigma_1 a_i a^i + \sigma_2 a^i_i, \tag{9}$$

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{16\pi G}, \tag{10}$$

where G denotes the Newtonian constant, $\mathcal{L}_M$ is the Lagrangian of matter fields, $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijlk} = g^{il}g^{jk} - g^{ij}g^{kl}$ [31]. Here $\Delta \equiv g^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j$, Λ_g is the cosmological constant, and all the coefficients, β_n and γ_n, are dimensionless and arbitrary. Note that if $a_1 = a_2 = \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$ we recover the HLT without any coupling with the matter [39].

In order to be consistent with observations in the infrared limit [37], we assume that

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = \beta_7 = \beta_8 = \beta_9 = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\gamma_0 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \gamma_4 = \gamma_5 = \gamma_6 = \gamma_7 = \gamma_8 = \gamma_9 = 0, \tag{12}$$

implying that

$$\Lambda_g \equiv \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2\gamma_0 = 0. \tag{13}$$

For the PPN approximation in minimum coupling theory, we have

$$\beta_0 = -2(\gamma_1 + 1), \tag{14}$$

$$a_1 = a_2 = 0, \tag{15}$$

$$\sigma_1 = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\sigma_2 = 4(1 - a_1) = 4. \tag{17}$$

Then, for the choice of the parameters above, we have

$$\tilde{N} = N, \tag{18}$$

$$\tilde{N}^i = N^i, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}. \tag{20}$$

C_{ij} denotes the Cotton tensor, defined by

$$C^{ij} = \frac{e^{ikl}}{\sqrt{g}}\nabla_k\Big(R^j_l - \frac{1}{4}R\delta^j_l\Big), \tag{21}$$

with $e^{123} = 1$. Using the Bianchi identities, one can show that $C_{ij}C^{ij}$ can be written in terms of the five independent sixth-order derivative terms in the form

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij}C^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}R^3 - \frac{5}{2}RR_{ij}R^{ij} + 3R^i_jR^j_kR^k_i + \frac{3}{8}R\Delta R \\ &\quad + (\nabla_iR_{jk})\left(\nabla^iR^{jk}\right) + \nabla_kG^k, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$G^k = \frac{1}{2}R^{jk}\nabla_jR - R_{ij}\nabla^jR^{ik} - \frac{3}{8}R\nabla^kR. \tag{23}$$

The Ricci and Riemann tensors R_{ij} and $R^i{}_{jkl}$ all refer to the 3-metric g_{ij}, with $R_{ij} = R^k{}_{ikj}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} &= g_{ik}R_{jl} + g_{jl}R_{ik} - g_{jk}R_{il} - g_{il}R_{jk} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk}\right)R, \\ K_{ij} &\equiv \frac{1}{2N}\left(-\dot{g}_{ij} + \nabla_iN_j + \nabla_jN_i\right), \\ \mathcal{G}_{ij} &\equiv R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R + \Lambda_gg_{ij}, \\ a_i &\equiv \frac{N_{,i}}{N}, \quad a_{ij} \equiv \nabla_ja_i, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where N_i is defined in the ADM form of the metric [38], given by equation (1).

The variations of the action S (7) with respect to N and N^i give rise to the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints,

$$\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_V + F_V - F_\varphi - F_\lambda + \mathcal{H}_S = 8\pi GJ^t, \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^i_S + \nabla_j\Big\{&\pi^{ij} - (1-\lambda)g^{ij}\left(\nabla^2\varphi + a_k\nabla^k\varphi\right) \\ &- \varphi\mathcal{G}^{ij} - \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijkl}a_l\nabla_k\varphi\Big\} = 8\pi GJ^i, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_S &= \frac{2\sigma_1}{N}\nabla_i\left[a^i\left(A - \mathcal{A}\right)\right] - \frac{\sigma_2}{N}\nabla^2\left(A - \mathcal{A}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi, \\ M^i_S &= -\frac{1}{2}a_S\nabla^i\varphi, \\ J^i &= -N\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta N_i}, \quad J^t = 2\frac{\delta(N\mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta N}, \\ \pi^{ij} &= -K^{ij} + \lambda Kg^{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

with and F_V, F_φ and F_λ are given in the Appendix A.

Variations of S with respect to φ and A yield, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^{ij}(2K_{ij} + \nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi + a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi) \\ &+\frac{1}{2N}\Big\{\mathcal{G}^{ij}\nabla_j\nabla_i(N\varphi) - \mathcal{G}^{ij}\nabla_j(N\varphi a_i)\Big\} \\ &-\frac{1}{N}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijkl}\Big\{\nabla_{(k}(a_{l)})NK_{ij}) + \frac{2}{3}\nabla_{(k}(a_{l)}N\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi) \\ &-\frac{2}{3}\nabla_{(j}\nabla_{i)}(Na_{(l}\nabla_{k)}\varphi) + \frac{5}{3}\nabla_j(Na_ia_k\nabla_l\varphi) \\ &+\frac{2}{3}\nabla_j(Na_{ik}\nabla_l\varphi)\Big\} + \Sigma_S \\ &+\frac{1-\lambda}{N}\Big\{\nabla^2\left[N(\nabla^2\varphi + a_k\nabla^k\varphi)\right] \\ &-\nabla^i[N(\nabla^2\varphi + a_k\nabla^k\varphi)a_i] \\ &+\nabla^2(NK) - \nabla^i(NKa_i)\Big\} = 8\pi GJ_\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_S &= -\frac{1}{2N}\Big\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\sqrt{g}a_S\right] \\ &\quad -\nabla_k\left[\left(N^k + N\nabla^k\varphi\right)a_S\right]\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and

$$R - 2\Lambda_g - a_S = 8\pi GJ_A, \tag{30}$$

where

$$J_\varphi = -\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\varphi}, \quad J_A = 2\frac{\delta(N\mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta A}. \tag{31}$$

On the other hand, the variation of S with respect to g_{ij} yields the dynamical equations,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}N}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\sqrt{g}\pi^{ij}\right) + 2(K^{ik}K^j_k - \lambda KK^{ij}) \\ &-\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\mathcal{L}_K + \frac{1}{N}\nabla_k(\pi^{ik}N^j + \pi^{kj}N^i - \pi^{ij}N^k) \\ &+F^{ij} - F^{ij}_S - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\mathcal{L}_S + F^{ij}_a - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\mathcal{L}_A + F^{ij}_\varphi \\ &-\frac{1}{N}(AR^{ij} + g^{ij}\nabla^2A - \nabla^j\nabla^iA) = 8\pi G\tau^{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where

$$\tau^{ij} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}N}\frac{\delta(\sqrt{g}N\mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta g_{ij}}, \tag{33}$$

and F^{ij}, F_S^{ij}, F_a^{ij} and F_φ^{ij} are given in the Appendix A.

III. VAIDYA'S SPACETIME

The Vaidya's spacetime with an ingoing null dust usually written in the form [32],

$$ds^2 = -\left(1-\frac{2m(v)}{r}\right)dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2d\Omega^2, \tag{34}$$

where $d\Omega^2 \equiv d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$, and the corresponding energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho(v,r)l_\mu l_\nu, \tag{35}$$

with

$$\rho = \frac{2}{r^2}\frac{dm}{dv}, \quad l_\mu = -\delta^v_\mu. \tag{36}$$

Hereinafter, the Newtonian prepotential φ is assumed null and $G = c = 1$.

Introducing a time-like coordinate t via the relation, $v = 2(t+r)$, the metric (34) can be cast in the form,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\frac{r}{M}dt^2 + \frac{4M}{r}\left[dr + \left(1-\frac{r}{2M}\right)dt\right]^2 \\ &\quad + r^2d\Omega^2, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where

$$M \equiv M(V) = 2m(v), \quad V \equiv t + r. \tag{38}$$

From equation (37), we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \sqrt{\frac{r}{M}}, \quad N^i = \left(1-\frac{r}{2M}\right)\delta^i_r, \\ g_{rr} &= \frac{4M}{r}, \quad g_{\theta\theta} = r^2, \quad g_{\phi\phi} = r^2\sin^2\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_i &\equiv g_{ij}N^j = -2\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)\delta^r_i, \\ g^{rr} &= \frac{r}{4M}, \quad g^{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{r^2}, \quad g^{\phi\phi} = \frac{1}{r^2\sin^2\theta}, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

$$\rho = \frac{M^*}{2r^2}, \quad l_\mu = -2\left(\delta^t_\mu + \delta^r_\mu\right), \tag{41}$$

where $M^* \equiv dM/dV$.

Since $M = M(V)$, introducing another independent variable, $U = t - r$, we can find that

$$M' = \dot{M} = \frac{1}{2}M^*, \tag{42}$$

since $dM(V)/dU = 0$.

Then, we find that the non null metric components are

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(4)}g_{tt} &= -\left(N^2 - N_iN^i\right) = -\frac{4}{r}\left(M-r\right), \\ {}^{(4)}g_{ti} &= N_i = \frac{2}{r}\left(2M-r\right)\delta^r_i, \\ {}^{(4)}g_{rr} &= g_{rr} = \frac{4M}{r}, \\ {}^{(4)}g_{\theta\theta} &= g_{\theta\theta} = r^2, \\ {}^{(4)}g_{\phi\phi} &= g_{\phi\phi} = r^2\sin^2\theta, \\ {}^{(4)}g^{tt} &= -\frac{1}{N^2} = -\frac{M}{r} \\ {}^{(4)}g^{ti} &= \frac{N^i}{N^2} = \frac{M}{r}\left(1-\frac{r}{2M}\right)\delta^i_r, \\ {}^{(4)}g^{rr} &= 1-\frac{M}{r}, \\ {}^{(4)}g^{\theta\theta} &= \frac{1}{r^2}, \\ {}^{(4)}g^{\phi\phi} &= \frac{1}{r^2\sin^2\theta}, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(4)}g^t_t &= 1, \\ {}^{(4)}g^r_r &= 1, \\ {}^{(4)}g^\theta_\theta &= 1, \\ {}^{(4)}g^\phi_\phi &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

For the projection tensor the non null components are

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(4)}h^r_t &= 1-\frac{r}{2M}, \\ {}^{(4)}h^r_r &= 1, \\ {}^{(4)}h^\theta_\theta &= 1, \\ {}^{(4)}h^\phi_\phi &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Then, it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} n_\mu &= N\delta^t_\mu = \sqrt{\frac{r}{M}}, \\ n^\mu &\equiv {}^{(4)}g^{\mu\nu}n_\nu = -\frac{1}{N}\left(\delta^\mu_t - N^i\delta^\mu_i\right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{M}{r}}\left[-\delta^\mu_t + \left(1-\frac{r}{2M}\right)\delta^\mu_r\right], \\ h^\mu_\nu &= {}^{(4)}g^\mu_\nu + n^\mu n_\nu, \\ h^r_t &= 1-\frac{r}{2M}, \\ h^r_r &= 1, \\ h^\theta_\theta &= 1, \\ h^\phi_\phi &= 1, \end{aligned} \tag{46, 47}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_i &= T_{\mu\nu}n^\mu h_i^\nu \\
&= \frac{1}{r^2}\sqrt{\frac{M}{r}}\frac{dM}{dV}\delta_i^r \\
&= \frac{1}{r^2}\sqrt{\frac{M}{r}}(\dot{M}+M')\delta_i^r, \qquad (48)\\
\tau_{ij} &= T_{\mu\nu}h_i^\mu h_j^\nu \\
&= \frac{8M}{r^3}\frac{dM}{dV}\delta_i^r\delta_j^r \\
&= \frac{8M}{r^3}(\dot{M}+M')\delta_i^r\delta_j^r, \qquad (49)\\
& \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the prime and dot denotes the partial differentiation in relation to the coordinate r and t, respectively.

IV. INFRARED LIMIT

In the infrared limit we must have

$$J_t = -2\rho. \qquad (51)$$

Besides, hereinafter, we have assumed that $\lambda = 1$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{rr} &= \frac{2M'Mr + M'r^2 - 2\dot{M}Mr - 2M^2 - Mr}{\sqrt{r/M}Mr^2},\\
K_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{r(2M-r)}{2\sqrt{r/M}M},\\
K_{\phi\phi} &= \sin^2\theta\frac{r(2M-r)}{2\sqrt{r/M}M},\\
K &= \frac{2M'Mr + M'r^2 - 2\dot{M}Mr + 6M^2 - 5Mr}{4\sqrt{r/M}M^2r},\\
R_{rr} &= \frac{M'r - M}{Mr^2},\\
R_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{M'r^2 + 8M^2 - 3Mr}{8M^2},\\
R_{\phi\phi} &= \sin^2\theta\frac{M'r^2 + 8M^2 - 3Mr}{8M^2}, \qquad (52)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$R = \frac{M'r^2 + 4M^2 - 2Mr}{2M^2r^2}, \qquad (53)$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_K &= \frac{1}{16M^3r^3}\times[(\lambda-1)(-4M'^2M^2r^2 - 4M'^2Mr^3 - \\
&\quad M'^2r^4 + 8\dot{M}M'M^2r^2 + 4\dot{M}M'Mr^3 + \\
&\quad 9M^2r^2 + 8M'M^2r^2 - 4\dot{M}M^2r^2 - \\
&\quad 4\dot{M}^2M^2r^2 - 36M^4 + 28M^3r + 2M'Mr^3) - \\
&\quad 8(3\lambda+1)M^3r(M'-\dot{M}) + \lambda(8M'Mr^3 - \\
&\quad 16\dot{M}M^2r^2 + 32M^3r - 16M^2r^2)]. \qquad (54)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V = \frac{1}{2M^2r^2}[M'r^2\gamma_1 + 4M^2 - 2Mr] \qquad (55)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_V &= \frac{1}{8M^3r}\left[4M''Mr^2\gamma_1 + 4M''Mr^2 - 7M'^2r^2\gamma_1 - \right.\\
&\quad \left. 7M'^2r^2 + 14M'Mr\gamma_1 + 14M'Mr - 7M^2\gamma_1 - \right.\\
&\quad \left. 7M^2\right], \qquad (56)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_S &= \frac{1}{8M^5r^3}\times\left[\sqrt{\frac{r}{M}}A'M^4r^2(4M'r - 20M) - \right.\\
&\quad \left. 4M'''M^2r^4 + 30M''M'Mr^4 + 8M''M^3r^2 - \right.\\
&\quad \left. 16M''M^2r^3 - 35M'^3r^4 - 12M'^2M^2r^2 + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 40M'^2Mr^3 - 24M'M^3r + 13M'M^2r^2 - \right.\\
&\quad \left. 60M^4 + 6M^3r\right]. \qquad (57)
\end{aligned}
$$

From equation (26) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H &= \mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_V + F_V + \mathcal{H}_S = 8\pi J^t = \frac{1}{16M^5r^3}\times \\
&\quad \left[4(\lambda-1)(-M'^2M^4r^2 - M'^2M^3r^3 + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 2M'\dot{M}M^4r^2 + M'\dot{M}M^3r^3 + 2M'M^4r^2 - \right.\\
&\quad \left. \dot{M}^2M^4r^2 - 9M^6) + 8(1+3\lambda)M^5r(\dot{M}-M') + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 8\sqrt{\frac{r}{M}}A'M^4r^2(M'r - 5M) - 8M''M^2r^4 + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 60M''M'Mr^4 + 8M''M^3r^4(\gamma_1+1) + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 16M''M^3r^2 - 32M''M^2r^3 - 70M'^3r^4 - (14\gamma_1 + \right.\\
&\quad \left. \lambda)M'^2M^2r^4 + (36\gamma_1 + 10\lambda)M'M^3r^3 + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 4(1-5\lambda)\dot{M}M^4r^2 + 2(30\lambda - 14)M^5r - \right.\\
&\quad \left. 5(1+5\lambda)M^4r^2 - 13M'^2M^2r^4 - 24M'^2M^2r^2 + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 8M'^2Mr^3 + 26M'M^3r^3 - 48M'M^3r + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 26M'M^2r^2 + 32M^5r\gamma_1 - 30M^4r^2\gamma_1 - \right.\\
&\quad \left. 120M^4 + 12M^3r\right], \qquad (58)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_r &= \frac{1}{8\sqrt{\frac{r}{M}}M^3r^2}\times \\
&\quad [(\lambda-1)(-4\dot{M}'M^2r^2 + 4M''M^2r^2 + 2M''Mr^3 - \\
&\quad 2M'^2Mr^2 - 3M'^2r^3 + 2M'\dot{M}Mr^2 + \\
&\quad 4M'M^2r + 6M'Mr^2 + 5M^2r - 18M^3) + \\
&\quad \dot{M}M^2r(2\lambda+6)], \qquad (59)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_A &= \frac{1}{4M^3r^2}\left[2M''Mr^3 - 3M'^2r^3 + 8M'Mr^2 + \right.\\
&\quad \left. 8M^3 - 7M^2r\right], \qquad (60)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_\varphi &= \frac{1}{64\sqrt{r/M}M^5r^3}\times
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\Big\{(\lambda-1)[16\dot{M}'M^2r^3(M-M'r)+ 4M^2r^4(-2M'''M-M'''r+2\dot{M}''M)+ 2M''MR^4(10M'M+11M'r-2\dot{M}M)+ M'^2r^4(-10M'M-21M'r+10M\dot{M})- 4M'\dot{M}M^2r^3]+ \sqrt{\frac{r}{M}}[16M'''M^2r^4(2M-r)- M''M'Mr^4(104r-176M)+ M''M^2r^3(80M-56r)+ M'^3r^4(168M-108r)+ M'^2Mr^3(180r-216M)- 36M'M^2r^2(2M+r)+ 12M^3r(10M-3r)]+\lambda(-28M''M^3r^3- 26M''M^2r^4+22M'^2M^2r^2+51M'^2Mr^4- 30M'M^3r^2-15M'M^2r^3-6\dot{M}M^3r^2+ 18M^4r-15M^3r^2)-16M''M^3r^4+ 12M''M^3r^3+34M''M^2r^4+24M'^2M^2r^4+ 2M'^2M^2r^3-71M'^2Mr^4-32M'M^4r- 48M'M^3r^3-26M'M^3r^2+59M'M^2r^3+ 32\dot{M}M^4r-2\dot{M}M^3r^2+32M^5+ 24M^4r^2+14M^4r-9M^3r^2\Big\}. \quad (61)$$

From the dynamical equation (32) we have

$$D^{rr}=8\pi\tau^{00}=\frac{d^{rr}}{128\sqrt{r/M}M^4r^2}, \quad (62)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d^{rr} &= \sqrt{\frac{r}{M}}\Big[4(\lambda-1)\Big(\dot{M}^2M^2r^2-4\dot{M}M^3r^2- 27M^4+M''Mr^2(4M^2-r^2)+4\dot{M}'M^2r^3- 3M'\dot{M}Mr^3-3M'^2Mr^2(M+r^2)+ 6M'M^2r^2+2\dot{M}M'M^2r^2\Big)+116M^3r+ M'^2r^4(5\lambda+2\gamma_1-3)+8\dot{M}M^3r(7\lambda+5)- 2M'Mr^3(2\gamma_1-\lambda+3)+ 7M^2r^2(3-2\gamma_1-5\lambda)+4M^3r(8\gamma_1-21)- 4M^2r(2M'M+\dot{M}r)(\lambda+3)\Big]+ 8AM^2r(4M-r)-16A'M^2r^3. \quad (63)\end{aligned}$$

$$D^{\theta\theta}=8\pi\tau^{\theta\theta}=\frac{d^{\theta\theta}}{32\sqrt{r/M}M^3r^3}, \quad (64)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\theta\theta} &= \sqrt{\frac{r}{M}}\Big[4(\lambda-1)\Big(M'^2Mr^2(M+r)- \dot{M}M'Mr^2(2M+r)+10M^3r(\dot{M}-M')- 2M'M^2r^2+\dot{M}^2M^2r^2+\dot{M}M^2r^2+ 9M^4+M^3r\Big)+\lambda(32\dot{M}'M^3r^2- 16\dot{M}^2M^3r^2-7M'^2r^4)+4M''Mr^4(\lambda+\gamma_1)- 16M''M^3r^2-M'^2r^4(10\gamma_1+3)+ 2M'Mr^3(11\lambda+10\gamma_1-1)- 5M^2r^2(3\lambda-2\gamma_1+1)\Big]-8A''M^2r^4+ 4A'Mr^3(M'r-3M)+4AMr^2(M'r-M), \quad (65)\end{aligned}$$

$$D^{\phi\phi}=8\pi\tau^{\phi\phi}=D^{\theta\theta}\sin^2\theta. \quad (66)$$

V. POSSIBLE SOLUTION

We are looking for a HLT solution which is equivalent to the Vaidya's solution in GRT. In the minimum coupling theory, we can see that $J_A=0$, since $\tilde{N}$ does not depend on A (since $a_1=a_2=0$) in the Vaidya's spacetime [see equation (4.11) in [37]]. Then, since $J_A=0$, from equation (60) we have

$$2Mr^3M''-3r^3M'^2r^2+8Mr^2M'+8M^3-7M^2r=0. \quad (67)$$

Using the equations $U=t-r$ and $V=t+r$ and the fact that $M=M(V)$, we can write that

$$M'=\dot{M}=\frac{1}{2}M^*=\frac{1}{2}\frac{dM}{dV}, \quad (68)$$

and

$$M''=\frac{1}{4}\frac{d^2M}{dV^2}. \quad (69)$$

Using these equations we can rewrite equation the (67) as

$$\frac{1}{16}M(V-U)^3\frac{d^2M}{dV^2}-\frac{3}{32}(V-U)^3\left(\frac{dM}{dV}\right)^2+ M(V-U)^2\frac{dM}{dV}+8M^3-\frac{7}{2}M^2(V-U)=0. \quad (70)$$

Deriving the equation (70) three times in relation to U we get

$$-\frac{3}{8}M\frac{d^2M}{dV^2}+\frac{9}{16}\left(\frac{dM}{dV}\right)^2=0, \quad (71)$$

whose solution is

$$M(V)=\frac{4}{(c_1V+c_2)^2}, \quad (72)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants. Substituting equation (72) into (70) we get

$$6c_1^2(V-U)^3-6c_1^2(c_1V+c_2)^2(V-U)^3- 32c_1(c_1V+c_2)^2(V-U)^2+512(c_1V+c_2)- 56(c_1V+c_2)^2(V-U)=0. \quad (73)$$

This equation can not be identically null since $c_1V+c_2\neq 0$.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have analyzed nonstationary radiative spherically symmetric spacetime, in general covariant theory of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity with the minimum coupling, without the projectability condition, in the PPN approximation and in the infrared limit. The Newtonian prepotential φ was assumed null.

In our previously paper [39] we have concluded that it does not exist a Vaidya's solution, as we know in the GRT. The unknowing coupling with the matter was the reason for that result.

Now we can conclude at the present work that, using the minimal coupling with matter, in the way proposed in [37], there is not a solution in the HLT like the solution of Vaidya, as we know in GRT. This result can suggest that this theory needs to be treated more carefully as a generalization of the GRT. Therefore, there is not any guarantee that starting from a given well-defined metric in the GRT, this will lead to a solution in the HLT, whose infrared limit coincides with the corresponding solution of the GRT.

Acknowledgments

The financial assistance from FAPERJ/UERJ (MFAdaS) are gratefully acknowledged. The author (RC) acknowledges the financial support from FAPERJ (no. E-26/171.754/2000, E-26/171.533/2002, E-26/170.951/2006, E-26/110.432-/2009 and E26/111.714/2010). The authors (RC and MFAdaS) also acknowledge the financial support from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq - Brazil (no. 450572/2009-9, 301973/2009-1 and 477268/2010-2). The author (MFAdaS) also acknowledges the financial support from Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos - FINEP - Brazil (Ref. 2399/03). We also would like to thank Dr. Anzhong Wang for helpful discussions and comments about this work.

VII. APPENDIX A: DEFINITION OF F^{ij}, F_S^{ij}, F_a^{ij}, F_φ^{ij} AND F_S^{ij}

The quantities F^{ij}, F_S^{ij}, F_a^{ij}, F_φ^{ij} and F_S^{ij} are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{ij} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}N}\frac{\delta(-\sqrt{g}N\mathcal{L}_V^R)}{\delta g_{ij}} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}\hat{\gamma}_s\zeta^{n_s}(F_s)^{ij}, \qquad (74)\\
F_S^{ij} &= -\sigma\left(\sigma_1 a^i a^j + \sigma_2 a^{ij}\right) \\
&\quad +\frac{a_S}{2}\left[(\nabla^i\varphi)(\nabla^j\varphi) + 2\frac{N^{(i}\nabla^{j)}\varphi}{N}\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\sigma_2}{N}\nabla^{(i}[a^{j)}(A-\mathcal{A})] - g^{ij}\frac{\sigma_2}{2N}\nabla^k[a_k(A-\mathcal{A})], \\
F_a^{ij} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}N}\frac{\delta(-\sqrt{g}N\mathcal{L}_V^a)}{\delta g_{ij}} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}\beta_s\zeta^{m_s}(F_s^a)^{ij}, \qquad (75)\\
F_\varphi^{ij} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}N}\frac{\delta(-\sqrt{g}N\mathcal{L}_V^\varphi)}{\delta g_{ij}} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}\mu_s(F_s^\varphi)^{ij}, \\
F_S^{ij} &= -\sigma\left(\sigma_1 a^i a^j + \sigma_2 a^{ij}\right) \\
&\quad +\frac{a_S}{2}\left[(\nabla^i\varphi)(\nabla^j\varphi) + 2\frac{N^{(i}\nabla^{j)}\varphi}{N}\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\sigma_2}{N}\nabla^{(i}[a^{j)}(A-\mathcal{A})] - g^{ij}\frac{\sigma_2}{2N}\nabla^k[a_k(A-\mathcal{A})], \qquad (76)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\gamma}_s &= \left(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3,\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5,-\frac{5}{2}\gamma_5,3\gamma_5,\frac{3}{8}\gamma_5,\gamma_5,\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5\right), \\
n_s &= (2,0,-2,-2,-4,-4,-4,-4,-4,-4), \\
m_s &= (0,-2,-2,-2,-2,-2,-2,-2,-2,-4), \\
\mu_s &= \left(2,1,1,2,\frac{4}{3},\frac{5}{3},\frac{2}{3},1-\lambda,2-2\lambda\right). \qquad (77)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, F_V, F_φ and F_λ are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_V &= \beta_0(2a_i^i + a_i a^i) - \frac{\beta_1}{\zeta^2}\left[3(a_i a^i)^2 + 4\nabla_i(a_k a^k a^i)\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\beta_2}{\zeta^2}\left[(a_i^i)^2 + \frac{2}{N}\nabla^2(Na_k^k)\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\beta_3}{\zeta^2}\left[-(a_i a^i)a_j^j - 2\nabla_i(a_j^j a^i) + \frac{1}{N}\nabla^2(Na_i a^i)\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\beta_4}{\zeta^2}\left[a_{ij}a^{ij} + \frac{2}{N}\nabla_j\nabla_i(Na^{ij})\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\beta_5}{\zeta^2}\left[-R(a_i a^i) - 2\nabla_i(Ra^i)\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\beta_6}{\zeta^2}\left[-a_i a_j R^{ij} - \nabla_i(a_j R^{ij}) - \nabla_j(a_i R^{ij})\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\beta_7}{\zeta^2}\left[Ra_i^i + \frac{1}{N}\nabla^2(NR)\right] \\
&\quad +\frac{\beta_8}{\zeta^4}\left[(\Delta a^i)^2 - \frac{2}{N}\nabla^i[\Delta(N\Delta a_i)]\right], \qquad (78)\\
F_\varphi &= -\mathcal{G}^{ij}\nabla_i\varphi\nabla_j\varphi, -\frac{2}{N}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijkl}\nabla_l(NK_{ij}\nabla_k\varphi), \\
&\quad -\frac{4}{3}\left[\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijkl}\nabla_l(\nabla_k\varphi\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{5}{3}\Big[-\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijkl}[(a_i\nabla_j\varphi)(a_k\nabla_l\varphi)+\nabla_i(a_k\nabla_j\varphi\nabla_l\varphi)\\
&+\nabla_k(a_i\nabla_j\varphi\nabla_l\varphi)]\Big]\\
&+\frac{2}{3}\Big[\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijkl}[a_{ik}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla_l\varphi+\frac{1}{N}\nabla_i\nabla_k(N\nabla_j\varphi\nabla_l\varphi)]\Big],
\end{aligned}
\tag{79}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_\lambda &= (1-\lambda)\Big\{(\nabla^2\varphi+a_i\nabla^i\varphi)^2-\frac{2}{N}\nabla_i(NK\nabla^i\varphi)\\
&\quad-\frac{2}{N}\nabla_i[N(\nabla^2\varphi+a_i\nabla^i\varphi)\nabla^i\varphi]\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{80}
$$

$(F_n)_{ij}$, $(F^a_s)_{ij}$ and $\big(F^\varphi_q\big)_{ij}$, defined in equation (33), are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(F_0)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij},\\
(F_1)_{ij} &= R_{ij}-\frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij}+\frac{1}{N}(g_{ij}\nabla^2N-\nabla_j\nabla_iN),\\
(F_2)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R^2+2RR_{ij}\\
&\quad+\frac{2}{N}\left[g_{ij}\nabla^2(NR)-\nabla_j\nabla_i(NR)\right],\\
(F_3)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R_{mn}R^{mn}+2R_{ik}R^k_j\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{N}\Big[-2\nabla_k\nabla_{(i}(NR^k_{j)})\\
&\quad+\nabla^2(NR_{ij})+g_{ij}\nabla_m\nabla_n(NR^{mn})\Big],\\
(F_4)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R^3+3R^2R_{ij}\\
&\quad+\frac{3}{N}\Big(g_{ij}\nabla^2-\nabla_j\nabla_i\Big)(NR^2),\\
(F_5)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}RR_{mn}R^{mn}\\
&\quad+R_{ij}R_{mn}R^{mn}+2RR_{ik}R^k_j\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{N}\Big[g_{ij}\nabla^2(NR_{mn}R^{mn})\\
&\quad-\nabla_j\nabla_i(NR_{mn}R^{mn})\\
&\quad+\nabla^2(NRR_{ij})+g_{ij}\nabla_m\nabla_n(NRR^{mn})\\
&\quad-2\nabla_m\nabla_{(i}(R^m_{j)}NR)\Big],\\
(F_6)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R^m_nR^n_lR^l_m+3R^{mn}R_{mi}R_{nj}\\
&\quad+\frac{3}{2N}\Big[g_{ij}\nabla_m\nabla_n(NR^m_aR^{na})\\
&\quad+\nabla^2(NR_{mi}R^m_j)-2\nabla_m\nabla_{(i}(NR_{j)n}R^{mn})\Big],\\
(F_7)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R\nabla^2R+R_{ij}\nabla^2R+R\nabla_i\nabla_jR\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{N}\Big[g_{ij}\nabla^2(N\nabla^2R)-\nabla_j\nabla_i(N\nabla^2R)\\
&\quad+R_{ij}\nabla^2(NR)+g_{ij}\nabla^4(NR)-\nabla_j\nabla_i(\nabla^2(NR))\\
&\quad-\nabla_{(j}(NR\nabla_{i)}R)+\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\nabla_k(NR\nabla^kR)\Big],\\
(F_8)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(\nabla_mR_{nl})^2+2\nabla^mR^n_i\nabla_mR_{nj}\\
&\quad+\nabla_iR^{mn}\nabla_jR_{mn}+\frac{1}{N}\Big[2\nabla_n\nabla_{(i}\nabla_m(N\nabla^mR^n_{j)})\\
&\quad-\nabla^2\nabla_m(N\nabla^mR_{ij})-g_{ij}\nabla_n\nabla_p\nabla_m(N\nabla^mR^{np})\\
&\quad-2\nabla_m(NR_{l(i}\nabla^mR^l_{j)})-2\nabla_n(NR_{l(i}\nabla_{j)}R^{nl})\\
&\quad+2\nabla_k(NR^k_l\nabla_{(i}R^l_{j)})\Big],\\
(F_9)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}a_kG^k+\frac{1}{2}\Big[a^kR_{k(j}\nabla_{i)}R+a_{(i}R_{j)k}\nabla^kR\Big]\\
&\quad-a_kR_{mi}\nabla_jR^{mk}-a^kR_{n(i}\nabla^nR_{j)k}\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{2}\Big[a_iR^{km}\nabla_mR_{kj}+a_jR^{km}\nabla_mR_{ki}\Big]\\
&\quad-\frac{3}{8}a_{(i}R\nabla_{j)}R+\frac{3}{8}\Big\{R\nabla_k(Na^k)R_{ij}\\
&\quad+g_{ij}\nabla^2\Big[R\nabla_k(Na^k)\Big]-\nabla_i\nabla_j\Big[R\nabla_k(Na^k)\Big]\Big\}\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{4N}\Big\{-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^m\Big[\nabla_{(i}Na_{j)}\nabla_mR+\nabla_{(i}(\nabla_{j)}R)Na_m\Big]\\
&\quad+\nabla^2(Na_{(i}\nabla_{j)}R)+g_{ij}\nabla^m\nabla^n(Na_m\nabla_nR)\\
&\quad+\nabla^m\Big[\nabla_{(i}(\nabla_{j)}R^k_m)Na_k+\nabla_{(i}(\nabla_mR^k_{j)})Na_k\Big]\\
&\quad-2\nabla^2(Na_k\nabla_{(i}R^k_{j)})-2g_{ij}\nabla^m\nabla^n(Na_k\nabla_{(n}R^k_{m)})\\
&\quad-\nabla^m\Big[\nabla_i\nabla_p(Na_jR^p_m+Na_mR^p_j)\\
&\quad+\nabla_j\nabla_p(Na_iR^p_m+Na_mR^p_i)\Big]\\
&\quad+2\nabla^2\nabla_p(Na_{(i}R^p_{j)})\\
&\quad+2g_{ij}\nabla^m\nabla^n\nabla^p(Na_{(n}R_{m)p})\Big\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{81}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(F^a_0)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}a^ka_k+a_ia_j,\\
(F^a_1)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(a_ka^k)^2+2(a_ka^k)a_ia_j,\\
(F^a_2)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(a^k_k)^2+2a^k_ka_{ij}\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{N}\Big[2\nabla_{(i}(Na_{j)}a^k_k)-g_{ij}\nabla_\alpha(a_\alpha Na^k_k)\Big],\\
(F^a_3)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(a_ka^k)a^\beta_\beta+a^k_ka_ia_j+a_ka^ka_{ij}\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{N}\Big[\nabla_{(i}(Na_{j)}a_ka^k)-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\nabla_\alpha(a_\alpha Na_ka^k)\Big],\\
(F^a_4)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}a^{mn}a_{mn}+2a^k_ia_{kj}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{N}\Big[\nabla^k(2Na_{(i}a_{j)k}-Na_{ij}a_k)\Big],\\
(F_5^a)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(a_ka^k)R+a_ia_jR+a^ka_kR_{ij}\\
&+\frac{1}{N}\Big[g_{ij}\nabla^2(Na_ka^k)-\nabla_i\nabla_j(Na_ka^k)\Big],\\
(F_6^a)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}a_ma_nR^{mn}+2a^mR_{m(ia_j)}\\
&-\frac{1}{2N}\Big[2\nabla^k\nabla_{(i}(a_{j)}Na_k)-\nabla^2(Na_ia_j)\\
&-g_{ij}\nabla^m\nabla^n(Na_ma_n)\Big],\\
(F_7^a)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}Ra_k^k+a_k^kR_{ij}+Ra_{ij}\\
&+\frac{1}{N}\Big[g_{ij}\nabla^2(Na_k^k)-\nabla_i\nabla_j(Na_k^k)\\
&-\nabla_{(i}(NRa_{j)})+\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\nabla^k(NRa_k)\Big],\\
(F_8^a)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(\Delta a_k)^2+(\Delta a_i)(\Delta a_j)+2\Delta a^k\nabla_{(i}\nabla_{j)}a_k\\
&+\frac{1}{N}\Big[\nabla_k[a_{(i}\nabla^k(N\Delta a_{j)})+a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}(N\Delta a^k)\\
&-a^k\nabla_{(i}(N\Delta a_{j)})+g_{ij}Na^{\beta k}\Delta a_\beta-Na_{ij}\Delta a^k]\\
&-2\nabla_{(i}(Na_{j)k}\Delta a^k)\Big], \qquad (82)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(F_1^\varphi)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\varphi\mathcal{G}^{mn}K_{mn}\\
&+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}N}\partial_t(\sqrt{g}\varphi\mathcal{G}_{ij})-2\varphi K^\nu_{(i}R_{j)\nu}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\varphi(KR_{ij}+K_{ij}R-2K_{ij}\Lambda_g)\\
&+\frac{1}{2N}\Big\{\mathcal{G}_{ij}\nabla^k(\varphi N_k)-2\mathcal{G}_{k(i}\nabla^k(N_{j)}\varphi)\\
&+g_{ij}\nabla^2(N\varphi K)-\nabla_i\nabla_j(N\varphi K)\\
&+2\nabla^k\nabla_{(i}(K_{j)k}\varphi N),\\
&-\nabla^2(N\varphi K_{ij})-g_{ij}\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta(N\varphi K_{\alpha\beta})\Big\},\\
(F_2^\varphi)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\varphi\mathcal{G}^{mn}\nabla_m\nabla_n\varphi\\
&-2\varphi\nabla_{(i}\nabla^kR_{j)k}+\frac{1}{2}\varphi(R-2\Lambda_g)\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi\\
&-\frac{1}{N}\Big\{-\frac{1}{2}(R_{ij}+g_{ij}\nabla^2-\nabla_i\nabla_j)(N\varphi\nabla^2\varphi)\\
&-\nabla_k\nabla_{(i}(N\varphi\nabla^k\nabla_{j)}\varphi)+\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2(N\varphi\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi)\\
&+\frac{g_{ij}}{2}\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta(N\varphi\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\varphi)\\
&-\mathcal{G}_{k(i}\nabla^k(N\varphi\nabla_{j)}\varphi)+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{ij}\nabla^k(N\varphi\nabla_k\varphi)\Big\},\\
(F_3^\varphi)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\varphi\mathcal{G}^{mn}a_m\nabla_n\varphi\\
&-\varphi(a_{(i}R_{j)k}\nabla^k\varphi+a^kR_{k(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(R-2\Lambda_g)\varphi a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi\\
&-\frac{1}{N}\Big\{-\frac{1}{2}(R_{ij}+g_{ij}\nabla^2-\nabla_i\nabla_j)(N\varphi a^k\nabla_k\varphi)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^k\Big[\nabla_{(i}(\nabla_{j)}\varphi N\varphi)+\nabla_{(i}(a_{j)}\varphi N\nabla_k\varphi)\Big]\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2(N\varphi a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi)\\
&+\frac{g_{ij}}{2}\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta(N\varphi a_\alpha\nabla_\beta\varphi)\Big\},\\
(F_4^\varphi)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{mnkl}K_{mn}a_{(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi\\
&+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}N}\partial_t[\sqrt{g}\mathcal{G}_{ij}{}^{kl}a_{(l}\nabla_{k)}\varphi]\\
&+\frac{1}{2N}\nabla^\alpha\Big[a_\alpha N_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi+N_{(i}a_{j)}\nabla_\alpha\varphi\\
&-N_\alpha a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi+2g_{ij}N_\alpha a^k\nabla_k\varphi\Big]\\
&+\frac{1}{N}\nabla_{(i}(NN_{j)}a^k\nabla_k\varphi)\\
&+a^kK_{k(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi+a_{(i}K_{j)k}\nabla^k\varphi\\
&-Ka_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi-K_{ij}a^k\nabla_k\varphi,\\
(F_5^\varphi)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{mnkl}[a_{(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi][\nabla_m\nabla_n\varphi]\\
&-a_{(i}\nabla^k\nabla_{j)}\varphi\nabla_k\varphi-a_k\nabla^k\nabla_{(i}\varphi\nabla_{j)}\varphi\\
&+a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi\nabla^2\varphi+a^k\nabla_k\varphi\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi\\
&+\frac{1}{2N}\Big\{\nabla^k(N\varphi a_k\nabla_i\varphi\nabla_j\varphi)\\
&-2\nabla_{(i}(N\nabla_{j)}\varphi a^k\nabla_k\varphi)\\
&+g_{ij}\nabla^\alpha(\nabla_\alpha\varphi a^k\nabla_k\varphi)\Big\},\\
(F_6^\varphi)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{mnkl}[a_{(m}\nabla_{n)}\varphi][a_{(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi]\\
&-\frac{1}{2}(a^k\nabla_i\varphi-a_i\nabla^k\varphi)(a_k\nabla_j\varphi-a_j\nabla_k\varphi),\\
(F_7^\varphi)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{mnkl}[\nabla_{(n}\varphi][a_{m)(k}][\nabla_{l)}\varphi]\\
&-\frac{1}{2}a_k^k\nabla_i\varphi\nabla_j\varphi-\frac{1}{2}a_{ij}\nabla^k\varphi\nabla_k\varphi\\
&+a^k_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi\nabla_k\varphi-\frac{1}{2N}\Big\{-\nabla_{(i}(Na_{j)}\nabla_k\varphi\nabla^k\varphi)\\
&+\nabla^k(Na_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi\nabla_k\varphi)\\
&+\frac{g_{ij}}{2}\nabla^k(Na_k\nabla^m\varphi\nabla_m\varphi)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^k(Na_k\nabla_i\varphi\nabla_j\varphi)\Big\},\\
(F_8^\varphi)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)^2\\
&-2(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)(\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi+a_i\nabla_j\varphi)\\
&-\frac{1}{N}\Big\{-2\nabla_{(j}[N\nabla_{i)}\varphi(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+g_{ij}\nabla^\alpha[N(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)\nabla_\alpha\varphi]\Big\}, \qquad +\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\nabla_\alpha[N_\alpha(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)]\\
(F_9^\varphi)_{ij} \;=\; &-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)K \qquad -\nabla_{(j}(NK\nabla_{i)}\varphi)+\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\nabla_k(NK\nabla^k\varphi)\Big\}.\\
&-(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)K_{ij}\\
&-(\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi+a_i\nabla_j\varphi)K\\
&+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}N}\partial_t[\sqrt{g}(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)g_{ij}]\\
&-\frac{1}{N}\Big\{-\nabla_{(j}[N_{i)}(\nabla^2\varphi+a_k\nabla^k\varphi)]
\end{aligned}
\tag{83}
$$

[1] P. Hořava, JHEP, **0903**, 020 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4287]; Phys. Rev. D**79**, 084008 (2009) [arXiv:0901.3775]; Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 161301 (2009) [arXiv:0902.3657].

[2] E.M. Lifshitz, Zh. Eksp. Toer. Fiz., **11**, 255 (1941).

[3] M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D**80**, 025011 (2009) [arXiv:0902.0590]; [arXiv:0912.4757]; C. Germani, A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, [arXiv:0906.1201].

[4] D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 181302 (2010) [arXiv:0909.3525]; JHEP, **1104**, 018 (2011) [arXiv.1007.3503].

[5] I. Kimpton and A. Padilla, J. High Energy Phys. **07**, 014 (2010) [arXiv:1003.5666].

[6] H. Lü, J. Mei and C.N. Pope, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 091301 (2009) [arXiv:0904.1595].

[7] G. Calcagni, J. High Energy Phys., **09**, 112 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0829].

[8] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D**80**, 024003 (2009) [arXiv:0904.3670]; A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, Phys. Lett. B**678**, 123 (2009) [arXiv:0905.0477]; M.-i. Park, J. High Energy Phys. **09**, 123 (2009) [arXiv:0905.4480]; A. Ghodsi and E. Hatefi, Phys. Rev. D**81**, 044016 (2010) [arXiv:0906.1237]; K. Izumi and S. Mukohyama, Phys. Rev. D**81**, 044008 (2010) [arXiv:0911.1814]; E. Kiritsis, Phys. Rev. D**81**, 044009 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3164]; G. Koutsoumbas, E. Papantonopoulos, P. Pasipoularides and M. Tsoukalas, Phys. Rev. D**81**, 124014 (2010) [arXiv:1004.2289].

[9] P. Hořava, Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 114012 (2011) [arXiv:1101.1081].

[10] A. Borzou, K. Lin and A. Wang, JCAP, **05**, 006 (2011) [arXiv:1103.4366].

[11] T. Sotiriou, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 251601 (2009) [arXiv:0904.4464]; J. High Energy Phys., **10**, 033 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2798].

[12] E. Kiritsis and G. Kofinas, Nucl. Phys. B**821**, 467 (2009) [arXiv:0904.1334].

[13] A. Wang and R. Maartens, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 024009 (2010) [arXiv:0907.1748].

[14] A. Padilla, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **259**, 012033 (2010) [arXiv:1009.4074]; T.P. Sotiriou, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **283**, 012034 (2011) [arXiv:1010.3218]; T. Clifton, P.G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis (2011) [arXiv:1106.2476].

[15] S. Mukohyama, Class. Quantum Grav. **27**, 223101 (2010) [arXiv:1007.5199].

[16] C. Bogdanos and E. N. Saridakis, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 75005 (2010) [arXiv:0907.1636].

[17] Y.-Q. Huang, A. Wang and Q. Wu, Mod. Phys. Lett. **25**, 2267 (2010) [arXiv:1003.2003].

[18] A. Wang and Q. Wu, Phys. Rev. D**83**, 044025 (2011) [arXiv:1009.0268].

[19] C. Charmousis, G. Niz, A. Padilla and P.M. Saffin, JHEP, **08**, 070 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2579]; D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, JHEP **10**, 029 (2009) [arXiv:0906.3046]; K. Koyama and F. Arroja, JHEP **03**, 061 (2010) [arXiv:0910.1998]; A. Papazoglou and T.P. Sotiriou, Phys. Lett. B**685**, 197 (2010) [arXiv:0911.1299].

[20] A.I. Vainshtein, Phys. Lett. B **39**, 393 (1972); V.A. Rubakov and P.G. Tinyakov, Phys. -Uspekhi, **51**, 759 (2008); K. Hinterbichler (2011) [arXiv:1105.3735].

[21] K. Izumi and S. Mukohyama (2011) [arXiv:1105.0246].

[22] A.E. Gumrukcuoglu, S. Mukohyama and A. Wang, [arXiv:1109.2609].

[23] T. Zhu, Q. Wu, A. Wang and F.-W. Shu, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 101502 (R) (2011) [arXiv:1108.1237].

[24] T. Zhu, F.-W. Shu, Q. Wu and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**85**, 044053 (2012) [arXiv: 1110.5106].

[25] P. Hořava and C.M. Melby-Thompson, Phys. Rev. D**82**, 064027 (2010) [arXiv:1007.2410].

[26] A. Wang and Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. D**83**, 044031 (2011) [arXiv:1009.2089].

[27] Y.-Q. Huang and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**83**, 104012 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0739].

[28] A.M. da Silva, Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 055011 (2011) [arXiv:1009.4885].

[29] J. Kluson, Phys. Rev. D**83**, 044049 (2011) [arXiv:1011.1857].

[30] K. Lin, A. Wang, Q. Wu and T. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 044051 (2011) [arXiv:1106.1486].

[31] K. Lin and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**87**, 084041 (2013).

[32] I. Bengtsson and J.M.M. Senovilla, Phys. rev. D**79**, 024027 (2009).

[33] Y.-Q. Huang, A. Wang, and Q. Wu (2012) [arXiv:1201.4630].

[34] J. J. Greenwald, V.H. Satheeshkumar and A. Wang, JCAP, **12** , 007 (2010) [arXiv:1010.3794]; J. Greenwald, J. Lenells, J. X. Lu, V. H. Satheeshkumar, and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 084040 (2011) [arXiv:1105.4259]; A. Borzou, K. Lin, and A. Wang, JCAP, **02**, 025 (2012) [arXiv:1110.1636].

[35] J. Alexandre and P. Pasipoularides, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 084030 (2011) [arXiv:1010.3634]; *ibid.*, D**84**, 084020 (2011) [arXiv:1108.1348].

[36] K. Lin, S. Mukohyama and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104024 (2012).

[37] K. Lin, S. Mukohyama, A. Wang and T. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084022 (2014).

[38] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1973), p. 484-528.

[39] O. Goldoni, M.F.A. da Silva, G. Pinheiro and R. Chan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, **23**, 1450068 (2014).